

# **“Impact of COVID-19 on AMA Clubs”**

## **June Summary Data**

### **Q1. Survey Participation**

**By district:** 48.9% of the 92 clubs in district 1 participated in the survey and completed the 20 survey questions in less than 10 minutes.

**By state:** - **CT.** - 52% of clubs participated. **ME.** – 42 % of clubs. **MA.** - 41% of clubs. **N.H.** - 47% of clubs. **R.I.** 33% of clubs. **VT.** – 43% of clubs.

### **Q2. Club Flying Site Owner/Authority**

**By district:** 58% of district clubs surveyed have flying sites on private property (farms and air fields), 38% on public property (federal, state or municipal landfills, parks, conservation or wildlife), and 4% on commercial property.

**By state:** **CT.** – 54% private, 38% public, 8% commercial sites. **ME.** – 80% private, and 20% commercial sites. **MA.** – 46% private, and 54% public sites. **N.H.** – 56% private, and 44% public sites. **R.I.** – 100% private sites. **VT.** 67% private, and 33% public sites.

### **Q3. Flying Sites Opened/Closed**

**By district:** 93.3% of clubs were open for flying while 2.2% were state closed, 2.2% were property owner closed, and 2.2% were club closed.

**By state:** **ME., N.H., R.I.,** and **VT.** had no closed flying sites while **MA.** had 2 sites closed and **CT.** had 1 site closed.

### **Q4. Masks Requirements**

**By district:** 62.2% of clubs didn't require masks while 8.9% were state required, 2.2% were property owner required and 26.7% were club required.

**By state:** **CT.** - 38% not required, 46% club required, and 8% property owner required. **ME.** - 80% not required, and 29% club required. **MA.** – 46% not required, 31% club required, and 23% Gov. required. **N.H.** – 100% not required. **R.I.** 100% not required. **VT.** – 67% not required, and 33% club required.

### **Q5. Social Distancing Requirements**

**By district:** 20% of clubs don't have social distancing while 35.6% have State imposed social distancing, and 44.4% have club imposed social distancing.

**By state:** **CT.** – 69% of club imposed distancing and 31% of gov. imposed distancing. **ME.** – 40% of clubs don't have social distancing, 40% have club imposed distancing and 20% have gov. imposed distancing. **MA.** – 15% of clubs don't have distancing, 38.5% have club imposed distancing, and 46% have gov. imposed distancing. **N.H.** – 33.3% of clubs don't have distancing, 33.3% have club imposed distancing, and 33.3% have gov. imposed distancing. **R.I.** – 50% of clubs don't have social distancing, and 50% have gov. imposed distancing. **VT.** – 33.3% of clubs don't have social distancing, 33.3% have club imposed distancing, and 33.3% have gov. imposed distancing.

### **Q6. Club supplied Masks/Hand Sanitizing items**

**By district:** 82.2% of clubs don't supply either masks or sanitizer items at their sites while 15.6% provide sanitizer items, and 2.2% provide both.

**By state:** **CT.** – 16% of clubs provide sanitizers and 84% provide neither. **ME.** – 20% of clubs provide sanitizers and 80% provide neither. **MA.** – 7.7% of clubs provide sanitizers, 7.7% provide both and 84.6% don't provide either. **N.H.** – 22% of clubs provide sanitizers while 77% don't provide either. **R.I.** – 50% of clubs provide sanitizers while 50% don't provide either. **VT.** – 100% of clubs provide neither.

### **Q7. Limited number of people allowed on flying site at same time**

**By district:** 53.3% of clubs were not limited to people on site while 22.2% were limited by the state, 4.4% by the property owner, and 20% limited by the club. Number limits on sites ranged from 4 to 25 people.

**By state:** **CT.** – 30% of clubs had no people limits while 31% had gov. limits, 31% had club limits, and 8% had property owner limits. **ME.** – 60% of clubs had no people limits, and 40% had club imposed limits. **MA.** – 77% of clubs had no people limits while 15.4% had gov. imposed limits, and 7.7% club imposed limits. **N.H.** – 55.6% had no people limits while 22.2% had club limits and 22.2% gov. limits. **R.I.** – 50% of clubs had gov. limits while 50% had club limits. **VT** – 67% of clubs had no limits, and 33% had gov. imposed limits.

### **Q8. Limited model aircraft flown at flying site at the same time**

**By district:** 47% of clubs had no limits on model aircraft flown while 53% had club imposed limits that ranged from 2 to 5 model aircraft flown at the same time.

**By state:** **CT.** – 46% of clubs had no limits and 54% had club limits of 2 to 5 aircraft. **ME.** - 60% of clubs had no limits, 40% had club limits of 2 to 5 aircraft. **MA.** – 46% of clubs had no limits and 54% had club limits from 2 to 5 aircraft. **N.H.** – 33.3% of clubs had no limits and 66.7% had club limits of 2 to 5 aircraft. **R.I.** – 50% of clubs have no limits and 50% had club limits of 2 to 5 aircraft. **VT** – 33.3% of clubs had no limits and 66.7% had club limits of 2 to 5 aircraft.

### **Q9. Limited days allowed to fly each week**

**By district:** 91% of clubs had 7 days/week of flying, 2.2% had club imposed no fly days, 2.2% had gov. imposed no fly days, and 4.4% had property owner imposed no fly days. No fly days were not imposed because of COVID-19. No fly days were most often on Sundays with some ranging from 2 to 4 days a week.

**By state:** **CT.** – 77% of clubs had 7 days/week of flying while 7.69% had club limited days, 7.69% had gov. limited days, and 7.69% had site owner limited days. **MA.** – 92.3% of clubs had 7 day/week of flying and 7.9% had site owner limited days. **ME.** , **N.H.**, **R.I.**, **VT.** - 100% of clubs had 7 days/week flying.

### **Q10. Limited to guests allowed at flying site**

**By district:** 40% of clubs haven't any formal limits to the number of guests at sites. 24.4% of clubs allow only invited guests while 2.2% don't allow any guests. 22.2% club limited guests and 11% gov. limited guests.

**By state:** **CT.** – 30% of clubs haven't guest limits. 23% of clubs allow only invited guests while 7.69% don't allow any guests. 23% of club limited guests and 15.4% of gov. limited guests. **ME.** – 60% of clubs haven't guest limits. 20% of clubs allow only invited guests and 20% of clubs limit guests. **MA.** – 53.8% of clubs haven't guest limits. 15.3% of clubs only invited guests while 7.7% of clubs limit guests and 7.7% have gov. limited guests. **N.H.** – 33.3% of clubs haven't guest limits. 22.2% of clubs only invited guests while 33.3% have club limited guests and 11% of gov. limited guests. **R.I.** – 100% club invited guests. **VT** – 33.3% of clubs haven't guest limits. 33.3% of clubs only invited guests and 33.3% of gov. limited guests.

### **Q11. Flight training temporarily suspended**

**By district:** 88.9% of clubs have not suspended flight training leaving 11.1% suspended.

**By state:** **CT.** – 92.3% of clubs have not suspended flight training leaving 7.69% suspended.

**ME.** – 80% of clubs have not suspended flight training leaving 20% suspended.

**MA.** – 100% of clubs have not suspended flight training.

**N.H.** – 77.8% of clubs have not suspended flight training leaving 22.2% suspended.

**R.I.** – 50% of clubs have not suspended flight training leaving 50% suspended.

**VT.** – 100% of clubs have not suspended flight training.

### **Q12. Accepting new members**

**By district:** 86.67% of clubs are accepting new members leaving 13.33% not accepting new members.

**By state:** **CT.** – 69.23% of clubs are accepting new members leaving 30.77% not accepting new members.

**ME.** – 100% of clubs are accepting new members.

**MA.** – 92.31% of clubs are accepting new members leaving 7.69% not accepting new members.  
**N.H.** – 88.89% of clubs are accepting new members leaving 11.11% not accepting new members.  
**R.I.** – 100% of clubs are accepting new members.  
**VT** – 100% of clubs are accepting new members.

### **Q13. Not joining/renewing club membership because of COVID-19**

**By district:** 93.3% of clubs surveyed hadn't been told by anyone that they were not joining or renewing club membership because of COVID-19. Only 1 club reported someone said they were not renewing because of COVID-19. 4.44% of clubs said they didn't know if COVID-19 contributed to any membership decline.

**By state: CT. and MA** – 92.3% of clubs were not told and 7.69% said they didn't know the reason for people not joining/renewing. **ME.** – Only 1 person claimed not renewing because of COVID-19. **N.H., R.I., and VT.** 100% of clubs hadn't been told by anyone that they were not joining or renewing because of COVID-19.

### **Q14. Status of club flying events**

**By district:** 62.2% of clubs cancelled their events while another 4.4% were not allowed by property owners and 6.7% not allowed by the government. 26.7% of events were still sanctioned/planned however ½ of these were cancelled a month after this survey.

**By state: CT.** – 53.8% club cancelled, 15.4% property owner cancelled, 7.7% gov. cancelled, and 23% were still sanctioned/planned. **ME.** – 60% club cancelled and 40% were still sanctioned/planned. **MA.** – 61.5% club cancelled, 7.69% gov. cancelled, and 30.7% were still sanctioned/planned. **N.H.** – 66.7% club cancelled, 11.1% gov. cancelled and 22.2% were still sanctioned/planned. **R.I.** – 100% club cancelled. **VT** – 66.67% club cancelled and 33.3% were still sanctioned/planned.

### **Q15. Cancelling in person club meetings**

**By district:** 60% of clubs cancelled their in person meetings while 24.4% continued having in person meetings and 15.6% conducted virtual meetings.

**By state: CT.** – 53.9% of clubs cancelled their in person meetings while 15.4% continued having in person meetings and 30.8% conducted virtual meetings. **ME.** – 60% of clubs cancelled their in person meetings while 40% continued having in person meetings **MA.** – 61.5% of clubs cancelled their in person meetings while 30.8% continued having in person meetings and 7.7% conducted virtual meetings. **N.H.** – 66.7% of clubs cancelled their in person meetings while 22.2% continued having in person meetings and 11.1% conducted virtual meetings. **R.I.** – 100% club cancelled meetings. **VT** – 33.3% of clubs cancelled their in person meetings while 33.3% continued having in person meetings and 33.3% conducted virtual meetings.

### **Q16. Online virtual club meeting (VM)**

**By district:** 57.8% of clubs aren't interested in participating in VM. 15.6% of clubs have conducted VM and 4.4% have participated in VM. 15.6% intend to engage in VM and 22.2% may participate in VM depending on COVID requirements.

**By state: CT.** – 23% of clubs aren't interested in participating in VM. 23% of clubs have conducted VM. 69.3% intend to engage in and/or participate in VM. **ME.** – 100% of clubs not interested in participating in VM. **MA.** – 69.2% of clubs aren't interested in participating in VM. 7.7% of clubs have conducted VM. 23% intend to engage in and/or participate in VM. **N.H.** – 77.8% of clubs aren't interested in participating in VM. 22.2% of clubs have conducted and/or participated in VM. 22.2% intend to engage in and/or participate in VM. **R.I.** – 50% of clubs have conducted and participated in VM. 50% intend to engage in VM and 50% may engage in VM depending on COVID requirements. **VT** – 66.7% of clubs aren't interested in VM. 33.3% of clubs have conducted VM. 33.3% may engage in VM depending on COVID requirements.

**Q17. Club membership trend last year to this year**

**By district:** Clubs surveyed said membership trends were - 20% slightly lower, 6.7% moderately lower and 6.7% considerably lower. Other clubs surveyed 15.6% slightly higher, 8.9% moderately higher and 4.4% considerably higher. Totals= 28.9% higher, 33.3% lower and 37.8% about the same.

**By state:** **CT.** – 7.7% slightly lower and 7.7% considerably lower while other clubs said 23% slightly higher, 15.4% moderately higher and 7.7% considerably higher. Totals = 46.2% higher, 15.4% lower and 38.5% about the same. **ME.** – 40% slightly lower, and 60% about the same. **MA.** – 38.5% slightly lower and 15.4% moderately lower. Other clubs surveyed 15.4% slightly higher, 7.7% moderately higher and 7.7% considerably higher. Totals= 50.8% higher, 53.9% lower and 37.8% about the same. **N.H.** – 22.2% considerably lower while 11% slightly higher and 11 % moderately higher . Totals= 22.2% higher, 22.2% lower and 55.6% about the same. **R.I.** – 50% slightly higher and 50% about the same. **VT** – 33.3% slightly lower and 33.3% moderately lower. Totals= 66.6% lower and 33.3% about the same.

**Q18. Club members flying trend last year to this year at their flying site**

**By district:** Clubs surveyed said member flying trends were – 15.6% slightly lower, 15.6% moderately lower and 15.6% considerably lower. Other clubs surveyed said 15.6% slightly higher, 2.2% moderately higher and 2.2% considerably higher. Totals= 20% higher, 46.8% lower and 33.3% about the same.

**By state:** **CT.** – Clubs surveyed said member flying trends were – 15.4% slightly lower, 15.4% moderately lower and 7.7% considerably lower. Other clubs surveyed said 23% slightly higher, and 7.7% moderately higher. Totals= 30.8% higher, 38.5% lower and 30.8% about the same. **ME.** – Clubs surveyed said member flying trends were – 20% moderately lower and 20% considerably lower. Other clubs surveyed said 20% slightly higher. Totals = 20% higher, 40% lower and 40% about the same. **MA.** – Clubs surveyed said member flying trends were – 15.4% slightly lower, 30.8% moderately lower and 7.7% considerably lower. Other clubs surveyed said 15.4% slightly higher, and 7.7% considerably higher. Totals= 23% higher, 54% lower and 23% about the same. **N.H.** – Clubs surveyed said member flying trends were – 22.2% slightly lower, and 33.3% considerably lower. Totals= 55.6% lower and 44.4% about the same. **R.I.** – Clubs surveyed said member flying trends were – 50% slightly lower. Totals= 50% lower and 50% about the same. **VT** - Clubs surveyed said member flying trends were – 33.3% considerably lower and 33.3% considerably higher. Totals= 33.3% higher, 33.3% lower and 33.3% about the same.

**Q19. Number of club members known to have tested positive for COVID-19.**

**By district:** 6 clubs said it was unknown if anyone tested positive. 34 clubs said no one in their clubs tested positive. 5 members tested positive in district I (2 clubs in MA had 1 member each that tested positive, and 1 in ME, CT, and RI that tested positive for COVID-19.

**By state:** **CT.** – 4 clubs said it was unknown if anyone tested positive. 8 clubs said no one in their clubs that tested positive. 1 clubs had 1 member that positive. **ME.** – 1 club had 1 member that tested positive and 4 clubs had no positive cases. **MA.** – 11 clubs had no one in that tested positive and 2 clubs had 1 member that tested positive. **N.H.** – 11 clubs said it was unknown if anyone tested positive and 8 clubs said they had no positive cases. **R.I.** – 1 club said it was unknown if anyone tested positive and 1 club said 1 member tested positive. **VT** – 3 clubs had no positive cases.

**Q20. Number of club member COVID-19 fatalities known**

**By district:** No clubs in district I had knowledge of any AMA member fatalities from COVID-19.

**By state:** **CT., ME., MA., N.H., R.I., and VT** had no knowledge of any AMA member fatalities from COVID-19.